

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/663,502		09/15/2003	Rickey Harvey	30137-CIP1	5249	
23589	7590	08/25/2004		EXAMINER		
HOVEY W			ADDIE, RA	ADDIE, RAYMOND W		
2405 GRAN KANSAS CI				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	•			3671		
				DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004	DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	6
	10/663,502	HARVEY, RICKEY	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Raymond W. Addie	3671	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
,	_· action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal matters, pro		erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the f	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • •		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s)	4) 🗖 Intonious Summero	(PTO-413)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) U Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/15/03.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152	2)

Application/Control Number: 10/663,502

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C.
 121:
 - Claims 1-5, drawn to a portable emulsion dispensing apparatus, classified in class 404, subclass 111.
 - II. Claims 6-12, drawn to roadway crack sealing device having video display of treatment area, classified in class 404, subclass 84.5.
 - III. Claims 13-19, drawn to a remotely controlled apparatus having a hopper having a dispensing outlet, classified in class 404, subclass 101.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are defined by their respective structural features, wherein Group I can be any apparatus capable of storing and dispensing an emulsion like-substance. Whereas, the invention of Group II is drawn to any apparatus having a video display of a treatment area, intended to be treated with any emulsion-like substance provided by the apparatus.

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes

Application/Control Number: 10/663,502

Art Unit: 3671

of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions the different inventions are defined by their respective structural features, wherein Group I can be any apparatus capable of storing and dispensing an emulsion like-substance. Whereas, the invention of Group III is drawn to any remotely controlled apparatus capable of storing and dispensing any sealant-like substance. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions the different inventions are defined by their respective structural features, wherein Group II Group II is drawn to any apparatus having a video display of a treatment area, intended to be treated with any emulsion-like substance provided by the apparatus. Whereas, the invention of Group III is drawn to any remotely controlled apparatus capable of storing and dispensing any sealant-like substance.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Tracey Truitt on 8/7/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

Application/Control Number: 10/663,502

Art Unit: 3671

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement

Page 4

be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Raymond W. Addie whose telephone number

is 703 305-0135. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-2, 6-8.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on 703 308-3870. The

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from

the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information

for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public

PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free).

Raymond Addie Patent Examiner

Group 3600

08/09/04